1 2 3 4 5 6 7 BEFORE THE 8 **BOARD OF PHARMACY** 9 DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 12 Case No. 4054 In the Matter of the Accusation Against: 13 14 DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER DAVID KARAPETYAN 1661 Encarta Street 15 Las Vegas, NV 89117 Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH [Gov. Code, §11520] 16 47089 17 18 Respondent. 19 FINDINGS OF FACT 20 1. On or about August 14, 2012, Complainant Virginia K. Herold, in her official 21 capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs, 22 filed Accusation No. 4054 against David Karapetyan ("Respondent") before the Board of 23 Pharmacy. (Accusation attached as Exhibit A.) 24 2. On or about February 14, 2003, the Board of Pharmacy ("Board") issued Pharmacy 25 Technician Registration No. TCH 47089 to Respondent. The Pharmacy Technician Registration 26 was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in Accusation No. 4054 27 and expired on May 31, 2012. The expiration of Respondent's license followed the occurance of 28

the charges brought in Accusation No. 4054. Furthermore and pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 118(b), this lapse in licensure does not deprive the Board of its authority to institute or continue this disciplinary proceeding.

3. On or about August 28, 2012, Respondent was served by Certified and First Class Mail copies of Accusation No. 4054, Statement to Respondent, Notice of Defense, Request for Discovery, and Discovery Statutes (Government Code sections 11507.5, 11507.6, and 11507.7) at Respondent's address of record which, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4100, is required to be reported and maintained with the Board. Respondent's address of record was and is:

580 W. Stocker Street, #10 Glendale, CA 91202

- 4. Service of the Accusation was effective as a matter of law under the provisions of Government Code section 11505, subdivision (c) and/or Business & Professions Code section 124.
- 5. On or about September 10, 2012, the aforementioned documents were returned by the U.S. Postal Service marked "Addressee Unknown." The address on the documents was the same as the address on file with the Board. Respondent failed to maintain an updated address with the Board and the Board has made attempts to serve the Respondent at the address on file. Respondent has not made himself available for service and therefore, has not availed himself of his right to file a notice of defense and appear at hearing.
- 6. On or about September 19, 2012, Respondent was served by Certified and First Class Mail additional copies of Accusation No. 4054, Statement to Respondent, Notice of Defense, Request for Discovery, and Discovery Statutes (Government Code sections 11507.5, 11507.6, and 11507.7) at an additional address on file with the Board. In addition to Respondent's address of record, the Accusation and corresponding documents were served to:

1661 Encarta Street Las Vegas, NV 89117.

- 1. Based on the foregoing findings of fact, Respondent David Karapetyan has subjected his Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 47089 to discipline.
 - 2. The agency has jurisdiction to adjudicate this case by default.

27

2.7

- 3. The Board of Pharmacy is authorized to revoke Respondent's Pharmacy Technician Registration based upon the following violations alleged in the Accusation which are supported by the evidence contained in the Default Decision Evidence Packet in this case:
- a. Respondent's license is subject to disciplinary action under sections 490, 4300, and 4301, subdivision (l), in conjunction with California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, on the grounds of unprofessional conduct, in that Respondent was convicted of crimes substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a registered pharmacy technician which to a substantial degree evidence his present or potential unfitness to perform the functions authorized by his registration in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare.
- b. On or about October 27, 2008, after pleading *nolo contendere*, Respondent was convicted of one misdemeanor count of violating Las Vegas Municipal Code section 9550 [doing business without a license], in the criminal proceeding entitled *The City of Las Vegas v. David Karapetyan* (Muni. Ct. Las Vegas, Nevada, 2007, No. C0699843A). The conduct is described with additional specificity in Accusation No. 4054, hereby incorporated by reference.
- c. On or about February 4, 2010, after pleading *guilty*, Respondent was convicted of one felony count of violating Nevada Revised Statutes section 453.3385(1) [trafficking in controlled substances]; one felony count of violating Nevada Revised Statutes section 205.275(2) [possession of a stolen firearm]; and one felony count of violating Nevada Revised Statutes section 202.277(1) [changing, altering, removing, or obliterating serial number of a firearm], in the criminal proceeding entitled *The State of Nevada v. David Karapetyan* (District Ct. Clark County, Nevada, 2007, No. 08C250486). The conduct is described with additional specificity in Accusation No. 4054, hereby incorporated by reference.
- d. On or about December 29, 2005, after pleading *guilty*, Respondent was convicted of one felony count of violating Nevada Revised Statutes section 193.330 [punishment for attempt to commit a crime]; one felony count of violating Nevada Revised Statutes section 205.220 [grand larceny]; and one felony count of violating Nevada Revised Statutes section 205.222 [grand larceny penalties], in the criminal proceeding entitled *The State of Nevada v. David A*

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

III

1	<u>ORDER</u>	
2	IT IS SO ORDERED that Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 47089, heretofore	
3	issued to Respondent David Karapetyan, is revoked.	
4	Pursuant to Government Code section 11520, subdivision (c), Respondent may serve a	
5	written motion requesting that the Decision be vacated and stating the grounds relied on within	
6	seven (7) days after service of the Decision on Respondent. The agency in its discretion may	
7	vacate the Decision and grant a hearing on a showing of good cause, as defined in the statute.	
, 8	This Decision shall become effective on December 28, 2012.	
9	It is so ORDERED ON November 28, 2012	
10	BOARD OF PHARMACY	
11	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
12		
13	By C. WEISSER	
14	By STANLEY C. WEISSER	
15	Board President	
16		
17	51183069.DOC DOJ Matter ID:LA2011600703	
18	Attachment:	
19	Exhibit A: Accusation	
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	·	

Exhibit A

Accusation

1	•		
1	Kamala D. Harris Attorney General of California		
2	MARC Ď. GREENBAUM		
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General ZACHARY T. FANSELOW		
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 274129		
5	300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013		
6	Telephone: (213) 897-2562 Facsimile: (213) 897-2804		
7	Attorneys for Complainant		
8	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY		
9	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Case No. 4054		
12	DAVID KARAPETYAN 580 W. Stocker St., #10		
13	Glendale, CA 91202 ACCUSATION		
14	Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 47089		
15	Respondent.		
16			
17	Complainant alleges:		
. * 1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
18	PARTIES		
ļ	PARTTES 1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity		
18			
18 19	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity		
18 19 20	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy; Department of Consumer Affairs (Board).		
18 19 20 21	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs (Board). 2. On or about February 14, 2003, the Board issued Pharmacy Technician Registration		
18 19 20 21 22	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs (Board). 2. On or about February 14, 2003, the Board issued Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 47089 to David Karapetyan (Respondent). The Pharmacy Technician Registration was		
18 19 20 21 22 23	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy; Department of Consumer Affairs (Board). 2. On or about February 14, 2003, the Board issued Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 47089 to David Karapetyan (Respondent). The Pharmacy Technician Registration was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and expired on May 31,		
18 19 20 21 22 23 24	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy; Department of Consumer Affairs (Board). 2. On or about February 14, 2003, the Board issued Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 47089 to David Karapetyan (Respondent). The Pharmacy Technician Registration was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and expired on May 31, 2012.		
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy; Department of Consumer Affairs (Board). 2. On or about February 14, 2003, the Board issued Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 47089 to David Karapetyan (Respondent). The Pharmacy Technician Registration was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and expired on May 31, 2012. JURISDICTION		

1.0

STATUTORY PROVISIONS

- 4. Section 118, subdivision (b), provides that the suspension, expiration, surrender, or cancellation of a license shall not deprive the Board of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary action during the period within which the license may be renewed, restored, reissued or reinstated.
 - 5. Section 490 states, pertinent part:
- "(a) In addition to any other action that a board is permitted to take against a licensee, a board may suspend or revoke a license on the ground that the licensee has been convicted of a crime, if the crime is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the license was issued.
- "(b) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, a board may exercise any authority to discipline a licensee for conviction of a crime that is independent of the authority granted under subdivision (a) only if the crime is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the licensee's license was issued.
- "(c) A conviction within the meaning of this section means a plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere. Any action that a board is permitted to take following the establishment of a conviction may be taken when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal, or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under the provisions of Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code."
 - 6. Section 4060 states:

"No person shall possess any controlled substance, except that furnished to a person upon the prescription of a physician, dentist, podiatrist, optometrist, veterinarian, or naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.7, or furnished pursuant to a drug order issued by a certified nurse-midwife pursuant to Section 2746.51, a nurse practitioner pursuant to Section 2836.1, or a physician assistant pursuant to Section 3502.1, or naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.5, or a pharmacist pursuant to either subparagraph (D) of paragraph (4) of, or clause (iv) of subparagraph (A) of paragraph (5) of, subdivision (a) of Section 4052. This section shall not

apply to the possession of any controlled substance by a manufacturer, wholesaler, pharmacy, pharmacist, physician, podiatrist, dentist, optometrist, veterinarian, naturopathic doctor, certified nurse-midwife, nurse practitioner, or physician assistant, when in stock in containers correctly labeled with the name and address of the supplier or producer.

"Nothing in this section authorizes a certified nurse-midwife, a nurse practitioner, a physician assistant, or a naturopathic doctor, to order his or her own stock of dangerous drugs and devices."

- 7. Section 4300, subdivision (a), states that "[e]very license issued may be suspended or revoked."
 - 8. Section 4301 states, in pertinent part:

"The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake.

Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

- "(f) The commission of any act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or corruption, whether the act is committed in the course of relations as a licensee or otherwise, and whether the act is a felony or misdemeanor or not.
- "(g) Knowingly making or signing any certificate or other document that falsely represents the existence or nonexistence of a state of facts.
- "(j) The violation of any of the statutes of this state, or any other state, or of the United States regulating controlled substances and dangerous drugs.
- "(l) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the

. 18 . 19

22.

record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment. . . ."

REGULATORY PROVISIONS

9. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, states:

"For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or facility license pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions Code, a crime or act shall be considered substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a licensee or registrant if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a licensee or registrant to perform the functions authorized by his license or registration in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare."

DRUG STATUTES

- 10. Health and Safety Code section 11170 states that "[n]o person shall prescribe, administer, or furnish a controlled substance for himself."
- 11. Health and Safety Code section 11173, subdivision (a), states that "[n]o person shall obtain or attempt to obtain controlled substances, or procure or attempt to procure the administration of or prescription for controlled substances, (1) by fraud, deceit, misrepresentation, or subterfuge; or (2) by the concealment of a material fact."
 - 12. Health and Safety Code section 11350, subdivision (a), states:

- 15

"Except as otherwise provided in this division, every person who possesses (1) any controlled substance specified in subdivision (b) or (c), or paragraph (1) of subdivision (f) of Section 11054, specified in paragraph (14), (15), or (20) of subdivision (d) of Section 11054, or specified in subdivision (b) or (c) of Section 11055, or specified in subdivision (h) of Section 11056, or (2) any controlled substance classified in Schedule III, IV, or V which is a narcotic drug, unless upon the written prescription of a physician, dentist, podiatrist, or veterinarian licensed to practice in this state, shall be punished by imprisonment in the state prison."

13. Health and Safety Code section 11377, subdivision (a), states:

"Except as authorized by law and as otherwise provided in subdivision (b) or Section 11375, or in Article 7 (commencing with Section 4211) of Chapter 9 of Division 2 of the Business and Professions Code, every person who possess any controlled substance which is (1) classified in Schedule III, IV, or V, and which is not a narcotic drug, (2) specified in subdivision (d) of Section 11054, except paragraphs (13), (14), (15), and (20) of subdivision (d), (3) specified in paragraph (11) of subdivision (c) of Section 11056, (4) specified in paragraph (2) or (3) of subdivision (f) of Section 11054, or (5) specified in subdivision (d), (e), or (f) of Section 11055, unless upon the prescription of a physician, dentist, podiatrist, or veterinarian, licensed to practice in this state, shall be punished by imprisonment in a county jail for a period of not more than one year or in the state prison."

COST RECOVERY

14. Section 125.3 states, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE/DANGEROUS DRUG

15. Cocaine, and any cocaine base, is a Schedule I controlled substance, as designated in Health and Safety Code section 11054(f)(1), and a Schedule II controlled substance, as designated in Health and Safety Code section 11055(b)(6). It is categorized as a dangerous drug according to Business and Professions Code section 4022.

Q

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Convictions of Substantially-Related Crimes)

- 16. Respondent's license is subject to disciplinary action under sections 490, 4300, and 4301, subdivision (l), in conjunction with California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, on the grounds of unprofessional conduct, in that Respondent was convicted of crimes substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a registered pharmacy technician which to a substantial degree evidence his present or potential unfitness to perform the functions authorized by his registration in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare, as follows:
- a. On or about October 27, 2008, after pleading nolo contendere, Respondent was convicted of one misdemeanor count of violating Las Vegas Municipal Code section 9550 [doing business without a license], in the criminal proceeding entitled *The City of Las Vegas v. David Karapetyan* (Muni. Ct. Las Vegas, Nevada, 2007, No. C0699843A). The court ordered Respondent to pay a fine. The circumstances surrounding the conviction are that on or about September 22, 2007, Respondent was knowingly, and unlawfully, conducting and doing business without a license, in violation of Las Vegas Municipal Code section 9550.
- b. On or about February 4, 2010, after pleading guilty, Respondent was convicted of one felony count of violating Nevada Revised Statutes section 453.3385(1) [trafficking in controlled substances]; one felony count of violating Nevada Revised Statutes section 205.275(2) [possession of a stolen firearm]; and one felony count of violating Nevada Revised Statutes section 202.277(1) [changing, altering, removing, or obliterating serial number of a firearm], in the criminal proceeding entitled *The State of Nevada v. David Karapetyan* (District Ct. Clark County, Nevada, 2007, No. 08C250486). The court sentenced Respondent to 60 months in jail as to count 1, with a minimum parole eligibility of 18 months; 48 months in jail as to count 2, with a minimum parole eligibility of 12 months; and 32 months in jail as to count 3, with a minimum parole eligibility of 12 months. All counts are to run concurrent with one another. Respondent was also fined by the court. The circumstances surrounding the conviction are that on or about April 20, 2007 through July 2, 2007, Respondent willfully, unlawfully, feloniously, and

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

knowingly, possessed 4 grams or more, but less than 14 grams, to wit: 13.4 grams of cocaine, or any mixture of substance consisting of approximately 13.4 grams of cocaine. On or about May 22, 2007, and/or June 5, 2007, Respondent unlawfully, and feloniously, for his own gain, had in possession stolen property taken from various people. Respondent had in his possession stolen firearms to wit: two different Winchester rifles, and a Ruger semi-automatic handgun, which he knew were stolen. On or about June 5, 2007, Respondent also knowingly, and unlawfully, changed the serial number of a firearm, to-wit, a revolver and/or a Ruger semi-automatic handgun. Subsequently, Respondent was convicted of violating Nevada Revised Statutes sections 453.3385(1), 205.275(2), and 202.277(1).

On or about December 29, 2005, after pleading guilty, Respondent was convicted of one felony count of violating Nevada Revised Statutes section 193.330 [punishment for attempt to commit a crime]; one felony count of violating Nevada Revised Statutes section 205.220 [grand larceny]; and one felony count of violating Nevada Revised Statutes section 205.222 [grand larceny penalties], in the criminal proceeding entitled The State of Nevada v. David A Karapetyan (District Ct. Clark County, Nevada, 2003, No. 04C200278.3). The court sentenced Respondent to 36 months in jail, with minimum parole eligibility of 12 months, and fined him. The circumstances surrounding the conviction are that on or about September 22, 2003, Respondent did willfully, and unlawfully, with intent to deprive the property owner, steal and take property away from the department store Target, in various locations in Las Vegas, Clark County, Nevada. The Respondent took a total of approximately 185 Hewlett Packard brand ink cartridges from various Target stores and placed them in two metal trash cans which were displayed for sale at one of the Target stores and only paid for the metal trash can and left the store without paying for the ink cartridges. The Respondent was subsequently convicted of violating Nevada Revised Statutes sections 193.330, 205,220, and 205.222

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Illegal Possession of Controlled Substances)

Respondent's license is subject to disciplinary action under sections 4300 and 4301, subdivision (i), in conjunction with section 4060, on the grounds of unprofessional conduct, in

that on or about April 20, 2007 through July 02, 2007, Respondent was in possession of a controlled substance and dangerous drug without a valid prescription. Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations set forth above in paragraph 16, subparagraph (b), inclusive, as though set forth fully.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Violating Drug Statutes)

- 18. Respondent's license is subject to disciplinary action under sections 4300 and 4301, subdivision (j), on the grounds of unprofessional conduct, for violating provisions of the Health and Safety Code as follows:
 - a. Section 11377, subdivision (a), by possessing Cocaine, a controlled substance.

Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations set forth above in paragraph 16, subparagraph (b), inclusive, as though set forth fully.

FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Acts Involving Moral Turpitude, Dishonesty, Fraud, Deceit and/or Corruption)

19. Respondent's license is subject to disciplinary action under sections 4300 and 4301, subdivisions (g) and (f), on the grounds of unprofessional conduct, in that Respondent committed acts involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit and / or corruption. Additionally, on or about May 31, 2010, Respondent knowingly made a false statement of fact required to be revealed on his application, by marking "No" when asked if he had been convicted of any crime since he last renewed his license. Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations set forth above in paragraphs 16 through 18, inclusive, as though set forth fully.

ľ	ı
22	. ///
23	///
24	/// -
25	<i>III</i> -
26	///
27	///

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board issue a decision:

- 1. Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Technician License No. TCH 47089, issued to David Karapetyan;
- 2. Ordering David Karapetyan to pay the Board the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3; and
 - 3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

DATED: 8/14/12

VIRGIMIAHEROLI

Executive Officer
Board of Pharmacy

Department of Consumer Affairs

State of California Complainant

LA2011600703 51116021.doc 5/31/12-IC